arXiv:1301.6971v3 [hep-lat] 18 Feb 2013

Gauge theory of Lorentz group as a source of the dynamical electroweak symmetry breaking

MIKHAIL ZUBKOV
Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia

(Dated: January, 25, 2012)

We consider the gauge theory of Lorentz group coupled in a nonminimal way to fermions. We suggest the hypothesis (to be confirmed by the further numerical investigations) that the given theory may exist in the phase with broken chiral symmetry and without confinement. The lattice discretization of the model is described. This unusual strongly coupled theory may appear to be the source of the dynamical electroweak symmetry breaking. Namely, in this theory all existing fermions interact with the $SO(3,1)$ gauge field in the same way. Therefore, in the absence of the other interactions the chiral condensate appears and all fermionic excitations acquire equal masses. Small corrections to the gap equations due to the other interactions may cause the appearance of the observed hierarchy of masses.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

The scalar excitation recently found at 125 GeV is now interpreted as the Higgs boson [1, 2]. The existence of the other Higgs bosons with the same (or, larger) production cross - sections is excluded within the wide ranges of masses approximately from 130 GeV to 550 GeV [3, 4]. However, this does not mean that the existence of scalar particles within these ranges of masses is excluded completely. The particles with smaller values of production cross - sections are allowed. In [5] it was suggested that such particles may appear. It was supposed that these particles together with the 125 GeV Higgs may be composed of known Standard Model fermions due to the unknown strong interaction between them (with the scale Λ above 1 TeV). This unknown interaction if it exists should have very specific properties that make it different from the conventional technicolor (TC) interactions [6–8] (for a recent alternative to the technicolor see, for example, [9]).

Namely, these interactions cannot be confining since otherwise they would confine quarks and leptons to the extremely small regions of space $\sim 1/\Lambda$, so that all strong and weak interaction physics would be missed. At the same time these interactions should provide the spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking needed to make W and Z bosons massive. Some models with such properties were already discussed in the framework of the topcolor [10]. In [5, 9] the scenario was suggested according to which the chiral condensates appear corresponding to some of the Standard Model fermion fields (not necessarily of the top quark only). This pattern provides both masses for the W, Z bosons and for the fermionic particles. It was supposed that in the zero order approximation all quarks have the same masses. Small corrections to the gap equations are able to provide considerable difference between the quark masses. This is how the hierarchy of masses appears.

The given pattern prompts that the mentioned unknown forces binding quarks are to be universal, i.e. they have to act in the same way on all fermions. We know only one kind of forces that act in the same way on all particles: the gravitational forces. At the same time, the scale of the Riemannian gravity is the Plank mass that is many orders of magnitude larger than the expected scale for these interactions. However, one may consider quantum gravity with torsion. Its dynamical variables are the vierbein (that is related to Riemannian gravity) and the $SO(3,1)$ connection that gives rise to torsion. With the vierbein frozen we come to the gauge theory of Lorentz group [11, 12].

In this paper we suggest the possibility that the gauge theory of Lorentz group binds quark and lepton fields giving rise to the dynamical electroweak symmetry breaking in the spirit of the models of top - quark condensation [13, 14] and the models considered in [9]. This theory is strongly coupled but it has the properties that make it different from the QCD - like technicolor theories [6–8]. Therefore, we expect that it may provide chiral symmetry breaking without the confinement.

One of the characteristic features of this theory is that the term linear in curvature appears similar to the Palatini action of the Poincare gravity. When the other terms for the gauge field are neglected, this term gives rise to the four - fermion interactions. In the context of Poincare gravity (i.e. in the presence of nontrivial vierbein) this was considered in [15–18]. The induced four - fermion interactions were considered in [19–21] as a source of the fermion condensation used, mainly, in a cosmological background. In our previous paper [22] we have suggested that nonminimal coupling [23] of fermion fields to torsion (when Parity is broken by the torsion action) may provide the condensation of the fermion bilinears composed of the right - handed fermions, and cause the Dynamical Electroweak Symmetry Breaking

(DEWSB) if the technifermions are placed in the right - handed spinors while the Standard Model fermions are placed in the left - handed fermions. In the present paper we do not consider such a pattern and do not introduce the technifermions at all.

In the suggested approach some of the problems specific for TC, Extended Technicolor (ETC) [24–32], and Bosonic Technicolor [33, 34] are avoided. We consider the Nambu - Jona - Lasinio (NJL) effective theory that contains the four - fermion interactions in a way similar to the NJL model of chiral symmetry breaking [37] in QCD and TC.

It is worth mentioning that the most general form of the nonminimal coupling of fermions to gauge field of Lorentz group (the gravity with torsion) was suggested only recently in [18]. Later it was used, for example, in [35]. It is important that dealing with the Lorentz gauge theory we cannot consider only the minimal coupling because the nonminimal interaction between fermions and the gauge group is generalted dynamically. Therefore, following [35] we consider the nonminimal coupling from the very beginning.

Strongly coupled theories are to be investigated using nonperturbative methods. The most powerful nonperturbative method is the numerical simulation of the model in lattice discretization. Therefore, we consider the lattice discretization. In its essence our discretization is similar to that of suggested in [36] for the Poincare gravity, and in [35] for the theory of quantum gravity suggested by D.I.Diakonov.

The paper is organized as follows. In the 2-nd section we consider fermion fields coupled to the gauge theory of the Lorentz group. In the 3-rd section we consider the action for the gauge field and derive the four - fermion interactions that appear after the integration over torsion. In the 4 - th section we apply NJL technique to the four - fermion interactions of fermions and describe how the chiral symmetry breaking occurs. In section 5 we introduce the lattice discretization needed for the numerical investigation of the model. In section 6 we end with the conclusions.

II. FERMIONS COUPLED TO THE GAUGE FIELD OF LORENTZ GROUP

We consider the CP - invariant action of a massless Dirac spinor coupled to the $SO(3,1)$ gauge field in the form [18]:

$$S_f \;=\; \frac{i}{2}\int\{\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\zeta D_{\mu}\psi - [D_{\mu}\bar{\psi}]\bar{\zeta}\gamma^{\mu}\psi\}d^4x \tag{II.1}$$

Here $\zeta = \eta + i\theta$ is the coupling constant, the covariant derivative is $D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + \frac{1}{4}C^{ab}_{..\mu}\gamma_{[a}\gamma_{b]}$; $\gamma_{[a}\gamma_{b]} = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_a\gamma_b - \gamma_b\gamma_a)$. The spin connection is denoted by C_{μ}. It is related to Affine connection Γ^i_{jk} and torsion $T^a_{.\mu\nu}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^a_{\mu\nu} &= C^a_{.\mu\nu}\\ T^a_{.\mu\nu} &= C^a_{.[\mu\nu]}\end{aligned} \tag{II.2}$$

Indices are lowered and lifted via metric g of Minkowsky space as usual.

The symmetry group of the theory is the group of local Lorentz transformations [11, 12]. The infinitesimal transformations are given by

$$\begin{aligned}x^i &\rightarrow \Lambda^i_{.j}(x)x^j = x^i + \epsilon^i_j(x)x^j, \quad \epsilon(x) \in so(3,1)\\ \psi(x) &\rightarrow \tilde{\Lambda}\psi(\Lambda^i_{.j}(x)x^j) = (1 - \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{ab}\gamma_{[a}\gamma_{b]})\psi(\Lambda^i_{.j}(x)x^j),\\ \partial_j + \frac{1}{4}C^{ab}_j(x)\gamma_{[a}\gamma_{b]} &\rightarrow \Lambda^k_{.j}\tilde{\Lambda}\Big(\partial_k + C^{ab}_{\bar{k}}(\Lambda^i_{.j}(x)x^j)\gamma_{[a}\gamma_{b]}\Big)\tilde{\Lambda}^{-1}\end{aligned} \tag{II.3}$$

Another way to look at this symmetry is to restore the field of the vierbein that corresponds to vanishing Riemannian curvature. The symmetry group of this system is $Diff\otimes SO(3,1)_{local}$. Fixing the trivial value of the vierbein $e^a_k = \delta^a_k$ we break this symmetry: $Diff\otimes SO(3,1)_{local} \rightarrow SO(3,1)_{local}$. This breakdown is accompanied by distinguishing local Lorentz transformations out of the general coordinate transformations.

Eq. (II.1) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}S_f \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\int\{&i\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\zeta\nabla_{\mu}\psi - i[\nabla_{\mu}\bar{\psi}]\bar{\zeta}\gamma^{\mu}\psi\\ &+\frac{i}{4}C_{abc}\bar{\psi}[\{\gamma^{[a}\gamma^{b]},\gamma^c\}\eta - i[\gamma^{[a}\gamma^{b]},\gamma^c]\theta]\psi\}d^4x\end{aligned} \tag{II.4}$$

Here ∇ is the usual derivative. Next, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} S_f &= \frac{1}{2}\int\{i\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\zeta\nabla_\mu\psi - i[\nabla_\mu\bar{\psi}]\bar{\zeta}\gamma^\mu\psi \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{4}C_{abc}\bar{\psi}[-2\epsilon^{abcd}\gamma^5\gamma_d\eta + 4\eta^{c[a}\gamma^{b]}\theta]\psi\}d^4x \end{aligned} \tag{II.5}$$

Now let us introduce the irreducible components of torsion:

$$\begin{aligned} S^i &= \epsilon^{jkli}T_{jkl} \\ T_i &= T^j_{.ij} \\ T_{ijk} &= \frac{1}{3}(T_j\eta_{ik} - T_k\eta_{ij}) - \frac{1}{6}\epsilon_{ijkl}S^l + q_{ijk} \end{aligned} \tag{II.6}$$

In terms of S and T (II.5) can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned} S_f &= \frac{1}{2}\int\{i\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\eta\nabla_\mu\psi - i[\nabla_\mu\bar{\psi}]\eta\gamma^\mu\psi \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{4}\bar{\psi}[\gamma^5\gamma_d\eta S^d - 4\theta T^b\gamma_b]\psi\}d^4x \end{aligned} \tag{II.7}$$

One can see that θ disappears from the first term with usual derivatives. However, it remains in the second term. It is worth mentioning that in the theory with dynamical field $C^{ab}_{..\mu}$ it is not possible to consider only the minimal coupling with $\theta = 0$ because the second term with nonzero θ is to appear dynamically.

III. GAUGE FIELD ACTION

Let us consider the action of the form $S_g = S_T + S_G$ with

$$S_T = -M_T^2\int G d^4x \tag{III.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S_G &= \beta_1\int G^{abcd}G_{abcd}d^4x + \beta_2\int G^{abcd}G_{cdab}d^4x \\ &\quad +\beta_3\int G^{ab}G_{ab}d^4x + \beta_4\int G^{ab}G_{ba}d^4x \\ &\quad +\beta_5\int G^2 d^4x + \beta_6\int A^2 d^4x \end{aligned} \tag{III.2}$$

with coupling constants $\beta_{1,2,3,4,5,6}$. Here $G^{ab}_{..\mu\nu} = [D_\mu, D_\nu]$ is the $SO(3,1)$ curvature, $G^{abcd} = \delta^c_\mu\delta^d_\nu G^{ab}_{\mu\nu}$, $G^{ac} = G^{abc}_{...b}$, $G = G^a_a$,

$$A = \epsilon^{abcd}G_{abcd} \tag{III.3}$$

Actually, Eq. (III.2) is the most general quadratic in curvature action that does not break Parity [38]. The topological charge may be composed of different terms of Eq. (III.2):

$$\mathcal{Q} \sim \int d^4x(R^2 - 4R^{ab}R_{ab} + R^{abcd}R_{cdab}) \tag{III.4}$$

Therefore, up to the the topological term we have five independent terms quadratic in curvature.

S_T may be represented in terms of torsion [17]:

$$S_T = M_T^2\int\{\frac{2}{3}T^2 - \frac{1}{24}S^2\}d^4x + \tilde{S} \tag{III.5}$$

Here $\tilde{S}$ depends on q but does not depend on S, T. Let us suppose for a moment that it is possible to neglect S_G in some approximation. Then the integration over torsion degrees of freedom can be performed for the system that consists of the Dirac fermion coupled to the gauge field. The result of this integration is [15, 18]:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{eff} &= \frac{1}{2}\int\{i\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\eta\nabla_{\mu}\psi - i[\nabla_{\mu}\bar{\psi}]\eta\gamma^{\mu}\psi\}d^4x \\ &\quad -\frac{3}{32M_T^2}\int\{V^2\theta^2 - A^2\eta^2\}d^4x \end{aligned} \tag{III.6}$$

Here we have defined:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\mu} &= \bar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\psi \\ A_{\mu} &= \bar{\psi}\gamma^5\gamma_{\mu}\psi \end{aligned} \tag{III.7}$$

The next step is the consideration of the fermions coupled to the gauge field of Lorentz group with action $S_T + S_G$. This theory may appear to be renormalizable due to the presence of terms quadratic in curvature [40, 41]. Our supposition is that the theory contains the scale $\Lambda_{\chi} \sim M_T$. The effective charges entering the term of the action with the derivative of torsion depend on the ratio ϵ/Λ_{χ}, where ϵ is the energy scale of the considered physical process. We suppose that under certain circumstances running coupling constants $\beta_i(\epsilon/\Lambda_{\chi})$ are decreased with the decrease of ϵ. This does not contradict to the asymptotic freedom ($\beta_i(\epsilon/\Lambda_{\chi}) \to \infty$ at $\epsilon \to \infty$) that is often considered as the condition for the self - consistency of the gauge theory. However, due to the existence of S_T in the action we do not expect confinement, and at small enough energies $\epsilon << \Lambda_{\chi}$ it is possible to neglect S_G. The theory with the low energy effective action Eq. (III.6) has the natural cutoff Λ_{χ}. In the next section we shall demonstrate that in this theory the dynamical breakdown of chiral symmetry is possible.

IV. CHIRAL SYMMETRY BREAKING

Let us apply Fierz transformation to the four fermion term of (III.6):

$$\begin{aligned} S_4 &= \frac{3}{32M_T^2}\int\{-\theta^2(\bar{\psi}^a\gamma^i\psi^a)(\bar{\psi}^b\gamma_i\psi^b)\}d^4x + \eta^2(\bar{\psi}^a\gamma^i\gamma^5\psi^a)(\bar{\psi}^b\gamma_i\gamma^5\psi^b)\}d^4x \\ &= \frac{3}{32M_T^2}\int\{4(\eta^2+\theta^2)(\bar{\psi}^a_L\psi^b_R)(\bar{\psi}^b_R\psi^a_L) + (\eta^2-\theta^2)[(\bar{\psi}^a_L\gamma_i\psi^b_L)(\bar{\psi}^b_L\gamma^i\psi^a_{t,L}) + (L \longleftrightarrow R)]\}d^4x \end{aligned} \tag{IV.1}$$

In this form the action is similar to that of the extended NJL model (see Eq. (4), Eq. (5), Eq. (6) of [37]). In the absence of the other gauge fields the $SU(N)_L \otimes SU(N)_R$ symmetry is broken down to $SU(N)_V$ (here N is the total number of fermions). We suppose that the Electroweak interactions act as a perturbation.

For the purpose of the further consideration we denote $G_S = \frac{3(\theta^2+\eta^2)N\Lambda_{\chi}^2}{64M_T^2\pi^2}$; $G_V = \frac{\theta^2-\eta^2}{4(\theta^2+\eta^2))}G_S$. Here Λ_{χ} is the cutoff that is now the physical parameter of the model. We also denote $g_s = \frac{4\pi^2 G_S}{N\Lambda_{\chi}^2} = \frac{3(\theta^2+\eta^2)}{16M_T^2}$. Next, the auxiliary fields H, L_i, and R_i are introduced and the new action for ψ has the form:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{4,t} &= \int\{-(\bar{\psi}^a_{t,L}H^+_{ab}\psi^b_R + (h.c.)) - \frac{8M_T^2}{3(\theta^2+\eta^2)}\,H^+_{ab}H_{ab}\}d^4x \\ &\quad + \int\{(\bar{\psi}^a_{t,L}\gamma^i L^{ab}_i\psi^b_{t,L}) - \frac{32M_T^2}{3(\theta^2-\eta^2)}\mathrm{Tr}\,L^iL_i + (L \longleftrightarrow R)\}d^4x \end{aligned} \tag{IV.2}$$

Integrating out fermion fields we arrive at the effective action for the mentioned auxiliary fields (and the source currents for fermion bilinears). The resulting effective action receives its minimum at $H = m\mathbf{1}$, where m plays the role of the fermion mass (equal for all fermions). We apply the following regularization: $\frac{1}{-p^2+m^2} \to \int_{\frac{1}{\Lambda_{\chi}^2}}^{\infty} d\tau e^{-\tau(-p^2+m^2)}$. With this regularization the expression for the condensate of ψ is:

$$< \bar{\psi}\psi > = iN\int\frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{1}{p\gamma - m} = -\frac{N}{16\pi^2}4m^3\Gamma(-1, \frac{m^2}{\Lambda_{\chi}^2}) \tag{IV.3}$$

Here $\Gamma(n,x) = \int_x^\infty \frac{dz}{z} e^{-z} z^n$. The gap equation is $m = -g_s < \bar{\psi}\psi >$, that is

$$m = G_S m\{\exp(-\frac{m^2}{\Lambda_\chi^2}) - \frac{m^2}{\Lambda_\chi^2}\Gamma(0, \frac{m^2}{\Lambda_\chi^2})\} \qquad \text{(IV.4)}$$

There exists the critical value of G_S: at $G_S > 1$ the gap equation has the nonzero solution for m while for $G_S < 1$ it has not. This means that in this approximation the condensation of fermions occurs at

$$M_T < M_T^{\rm critical} = \sqrt{3(\theta^2 + \eta^2)N}\frac{\Lambda_\chi}{8\pi} \sim \Lambda_\chi \qquad \text{(IV.5)}$$

The analogue of the technipion decay constant F_T in the given approximation is:

$$F_T^2 = \frac{Nm^2}{8\pi^2}\Gamma(0, \frac{m^2}{\Lambda_\chi^2}) \qquad \text{(IV.6)}$$

In order to have appropriate values of W and Z - boson masses we need $F_T \sim 250$ Gev. Rough estimate via Eq. (IV.6) with $m = 164$ GeV (top quark mass) and $N = 24$ (the number of Standard Model fermions) gives $\Lambda_\chi \sim 10$ TeV. At the same time if only one top quark would contribute, and $N = 3$ (i.e. the number of colors), then this equation gives $\Lambda_\chi \sim 10^{12}$ TeV. In a realistic theory the fermions should have different masses due to the corrections to the gap equations caused by the other interactions (see below). Therefore, we may only say that 10 TeV $< \Lambda_\chi < 10^{12}$ TeV. It is worth mentioning, that in order to avoid the constraints on the FCNC we need $\Lambda_\chi \geq 1000$ TeV.

In the absence of SM interactions the relative orientation of the SM gauge group $G_W = SU(3) \otimes SU(2) \otimes U(1)$ and $SU(N)_V$ from $SU(N)_L \otimes SU(N)_R \to SU(N)_V$ is irrelevant. However, when the SM interactions are turned on, the effective potential due to exchange by SM gauge bosons depends on this relative orientation. Minimum of the potential is achieved in the true vacuum state and defines the pattern of the breakdown of G_W. This process is known as the vacuum alignment. In [42, 43] this process was considered for the conventional technicolor. It was shown that under very natural suppositions G_W is broken in a minimal way. This means that the subgroups of G_W are not broken unless they should. We suppose, that the same arguments work also for the dynamical theory of Lorentz group as a source of the dynamical electroweak symmetry breaking. The form of the condensate requires that $SU(2)$ and $U(1)$ subgroups are broken. That's why in the complete analogy with $SU(N_{TC})$ Farhi - Susskind model the Electroweak group in our case is broken correctly while the $SU(3)$ group remains unbroken.

Let us briefly mention what happens to the gap equation Eq. (IV.4) when the corrections are taken into account due to the Standard Model gauge fields and, probably, due to some other unknown interactions. We already do not have the only gap equation. Instead, for each fermion particle its own gap equation appears (see [5] for the explanation of how this appears in the models with the four - fermion interactions of general type). Thus we have

$$m_i = G_S^{(i)} m_i\{\exp(-\frac{m_i^2}{\Lambda_\chi^2}) - \frac{m_i^2}{\Lambda_\chi^2}\Gamma(0, \frac{m_i^2}{\Lambda_\chi^2})\} \qquad \text{(IV.7)}$$

for each of the i - th fermion with different coupling constants $G_S^{(i)}$. The form of these gap equations differs from that of [5] due to the different regularization. (At $\Lambda_\chi/m_i \to \infty$ these forms approach each other.) For some fermions Eq. (IV.7) has only trivial solution $m_i = 0$. For some of the fermions there exist nontrivial solutions with $m_i \neq 0$. At $\Lambda_\chi/m_i >> 1$ these solutions exist only if the coupling constants $G_S^{(i)}$ are close to each other. In the approach of the present paper this is provided by the smallness of the corrections (to the main contribution to $G_S^{(i)}$ coming from the gauge theory of Lorentz group, this main contribution does not depend on i). However, one can easily check that the smallness of these corrections does not mean that the corrections to the masses are small. Instead, the large difference between masses may appear in this way.

V. LATTICE DISCRETIZATION

We suggest the geometrical pattern of manifestly gauge invariant discretization that is similar to that of the Regge calculus or the pattern suggested in [36] for the discretization of Poincare quantum gravity. It is implied that the Wick rotation is performed. Therefore, we deal with the Euclidean theory and with the gauge group $SO(4)$ instead of $SO(3,1)$. We use the hypercubic form of the lattice cells. We approximate the given manyfold with the $SO(4)$ curvature by the set of the adjacent hypercubes of the same size. It is implied that the Lorentz connection is localized on the sides of these hypercubes. As for the fermion field we consider the approximation, in which inside each hypercube the fermion field is constant. As a result, the lattice sites of the given regularization are placed in the middles of the hypercubes. The $SO(4)$ connection is attached to links. The curvature is localized on the plaquettes. Its values in the adjacent hypercubes are related by the gauge transformation.

The model to be discretized has the action $S = S_f + S_T + S_G$, where the different terms are given by Eq. (II.1), Eq. (III.1), Eq. (III.2). The lattice discretized action for the fermions is similar to that of suggested in [35] (we performed the rotation to the Euclidean signature of space - time):

$$S_f = \sum_{xy}\{\psi^+_{L,x} H^{xy}_L \psi_{L,y} + \psi^+_{R,x} H^{xy}_R \psi_{R,y}\}, \tag{V.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
H_L &= \sum_k \Big(\eta\{U^+_{R,yx}\bar{\tau}^k \delta_{x-e_k,y} - \bar{\tau}^k U_{L,xy}\delta_{x+e_k,y}\}\\
&\quad -i\theta\{U^+_{R,yx}\bar{\tau}^k \delta_{x-e_k,y} + \bar{\tau}^k U_{L,xy}\delta_{x+e_k,y} - 2\bar{\tau}^k\delta_{x,y}\}\Big)\\
H_R &= \sum_k \Big(\eta\{U^+_{L,yx}\tau^k \delta_{x-e_k,y} - \tau^k U_{R,xy}\delta_{x+e_k,y}\}\\
&\quad -i\theta\{U^+_{L,yx}\tau^k \delta_{x-e_k,y} + \tau^k U_{R,xy}\delta_{x+e_k,y} - 2\tau^k\delta_{x,y}\}\Big)\\
&\quad \tau^4 = \bar{\tau}^4 = -i, \quad \tau^a = -\bar{\tau}^a = \sigma^a (a = 1,2,3)
\end{aligned} \tag{V.2}$$

Here the link matrix is

$$U_{yx} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} U_{L,yx} & 0 \\ 0 & U_{R,yx} \end{array}\right), \quad U_L, U_R \in SU(2) \tag{V.3}$$

The action is (formally) invariant under the gauge transformation

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_x &\to G_x \psi_x, \quad \psi^+_x \to \Gamma^4 G^+_x \Gamma^4, \quad U_{xy} \to G_x U_{xy} G^+_y, \quad \tau^k \to G_L \tau^k G^+_R, \quad \bar{\tau}^k \to G_R \bar{\tau}^k G^+_L\\
G &= \left(\begin{array}{cc} G_L & 0 \\ 0 & G_R \end{array}\right), \quad G_L, G_R \in SU(2), \quad \Gamma^4 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{V.4}$$

This symmetry is broken by the lattice discretization, and is restored in the continuum limit, when the invariance under local $SO(4)$ coordinate transformations comes back. (The appropriate transformations of the coordinates compensate the transformation of τ and $\bar{\tau}$.) The fermion action can also be rewritten in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned}
S_f &= \sum_{xy} \psi^+_x \Gamma^4 \mathcal{H}^{xy}_L \psi_y,\\
\mathcal{H} &= \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & H_R \\ H_L & 0 \end{array}\right), \quad H^+_L = H_R
\end{aligned} \tag{V.5}$$

Remarkably, for $\theta \neq 0$ in the absence of the gauge field the given discretization gives no doublers just like the Wilson formulation of lattice fermions.

Next, let us introduce the definition of lattice $SO(4)$ curvature. It corresponds to the closed path along the boundary of the given plaquette started from the given lattice site x. Therefore, it is marked by the position of the lattice site x and the couple of the directions in space - time n, j.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}^{4,k}_{x,n,j} &= -\mathcal{G}^{k,4}_{x,n,j} = i\,\mathrm{sign}(n)\,\mathrm{sign}(j)\Big(\mathrm{Tr}\,(U_{L,x,n,j} - U^+_{L,x,n,j})\sigma^k - \mathrm{Tr}\,(U_{R,x,n,j} - U^+_{R,x,n,j})\sigma^k\Big)\\
\mathcal{G}^{k,l}_{x,n,j} &= i\,\mathrm{sign}(n)\,\mathrm{sign}(j)\,\epsilon^{klm}\Big(\mathrm{Tr}\,(U_{L,x,n,j} - U^+_{L,x,n,j})\sigma^m + \mathrm{Tr}\,(U_{R,x,n,j} - U^+_{R,x,n,j})\sigma^m\Big)
\end{aligned} \tag{V.6}$$

Here $n, j = \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 4$. Positive sign corresponds to the positive direction while negative sign corresponds to the negative direction in $4D$ space - time. The plaquette variables obtained by the product of link matrices along the boundary of the plaquette located in n, j plane (starting from the point x) are denoted by $U_{R,x,n,j}$ and $U_{R,x,n,j}$. Contraction of indices results in the definition of lattice Ricci tensor $\mathcal{R}$ and the lattice scalar curvature $\mathcal{S}$. Both these quantities are also attached to the closed paths around the boundaries of the plaquettes and are marked by x, n, j.

$$\mathcal{R}^{k}_{x,n,j} \;=\; \mathcal{G}^{k,|n|}_{x,n,j}, \quad \mathcal{S}_{x,n,j} = \mathcal{R}^{|j|}_{x,n,j} \tag{V.7}$$

For the part of the action linear in curvature we have:

$$S_T \;=\; -\kappa \sum_{x} \sum_{n,j=\pm 1,\pm 2,\pm 3,\pm 4} \mathcal{S}_{x,n,j} \tag{V.8}$$

Analogue of quantity A from Eq. (III.3) is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{x,n,j} \;=\; \sum_{kl} \epsilon^{|n||j|kl} \mathcal{G}^{k,l}_{x,n,j} \tag{V.9}$$

Contraction of indices in quadratic expressions results in the following combinations:

$$\begin{aligned}
Q^{(1)}_x &= \sum_{k,l,n,j} \mathcal{G}^{|k|,|l|}_{x,n,j} \mathcal{G}^{|k|,|l|}_{x,n,j}\\
Q^{(2)}_x &= \sum_{k,l,n,j} \mathcal{G}^{|k|,|l|}_{x,n,j} \mathcal{G}^{|n|,|j|}_{x,k,l}\\
Q^{(3)}_x &= \sum_{k,n,j} \mathcal{R}^{|k|}_{x,n,j} \mathcal{R}^{|k|}_{x,n,j}\\
Q^{(4)}_x &= \sum_{k,n,j} \mathcal{R}^{|k|}_{x,n,j} \mathcal{R}^{|j|}_{x,n,k}\\
Q^{(5)}_x &= \sum_{n,j} \mathcal{S}_{x,n,j} \mathcal{S}_{x,n,j}\\
Q^{(6)}_x &= \sum_{n,j} \mathcal{A}_{x,n,j} \mathcal{A}_{x,n,j}
\end{aligned} \tag{V.10}$$

(The sum is over positive and negative values of n, j, k, l.) Finally, the term in the action quadratic in curvature has the form

$$S_G \;=\; \sum_{x} \sum_{i=1}^{6} \beta_i Q^{(i)}_x \tag{V.11}$$

Our main expectation is that in this theory the chiral symmetry is broken dynamically while the confinement is absent. The main quantities to be measured are the static potential extracted from the Polyakov loops and the chiral condensate. The chiral condensate χ may be calculated using the Banks - Casher relation

$$\chi \;=\; -\frac{\pi}{V}\langle \nu(0)\rangle, \tag{V.12}$$

where $\nu(\lambda)$ is the density of eigenvalues of the operator $\mathcal{H}$ given by Eq. (V.5), V is the $4D$ volume.

The potential $V_{L\bar{L}}(R) = V_{R\bar{R}}(R)$ between the static (either left - handed or right - handed) fermion and anti - fermion is defined through the relation

$$\begin{aligned}
&\exp(-V_{L\bar{L}}(|x-y|)T) = \langle \mathcal{P}_L(x)\mathcal{P}_R(y)\rangle,\\
&\mathcal{P}_L(x) = \mathrm{Tr}\Big(\Pi_{K=0,\dots,T-1} U_{L,x+Ke_4,x+(K+1)e_4}\Big),\\
&\mathcal{P}_R(y) = \mathrm{Tr}\Big(\Pi_{K=0,\dots,T-1} U_{R,x+Ke_4,x+(K+1)e_4}\Big)
\end{aligned} \tag{V.13}$$

Here $x_4 = y_4 = 0$, while T is the lattice extent in time direction. The potential $V_{L\bar{R}}(R) = V_{R\bar{L}}(R)$ between the static fermion and anti - fermion of different chiralities is given by

$$\exp(-V_{L\bar{R}}(|x-y|)T) = \langle \mathcal{P}_L(x)\mathcal{P}_L(y)\rangle = \langle \mathcal{P}_R(x)\mathcal{P}_R(y)\rangle \tag{V.14}$$

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have suggested the possible new scenario of the dynamical electroweak symmetry breaking. In this scenario all existing fermions are coupled nonminimally (in an equal way) to the gauge field of Lorentz group. We have demonstrated that the chiral symmetry breaking may take place in the given model in the approximation when the squared curvature gauge field action S_G is neglected. In the same approximation the confinement is absent. This indicates that in the complete theory with S_G taken into account the chiral symmetry breaking may take place in the absence of confinement. The only way to check this possibility is to use the numerical simulations in the lattice discretized model. If this pattern indeed takes place, the chiral condensate provides masses for the electroweak gauge bosons. In the zero order approximation all fermions have equal masses. The fermionic excitations are not confined and may exist as distinct particles. We suggest that the observed massive Standard Model fermions may appear in this way.

As it was mentioned above, in the zero order approximation all fermion masses are equal to each other. The standard model gauge fields (and, probably, some other unknown fields) interact with the fermions and provide small corrections to the gap equations. It was demonstrated in [5] that such small corrections may result in the considerable difference between the fermion masses.

Another problem of the strongly coupled model of this type is that the spectrum of bosonic excitations contains scalar excitations with small masses (much smaller than the electroweak scale). One of the possible solutions of this problem was also sketched in [5]. Namely, these light scalar bosons may appear to be the Goldstone bosons eaten by some gauge fields during the spontaneous breakdown of the additional gauge symmetries.

We have suggested the lattice discretization of the model. On the lattice the gauge invariance is lost. It is supposed to be restored in the continuum limit. Lattice Dirac operator has several peculiar properties. In the presence of nonminimal interactions the fermion doublers disappear like in the model with Wilson fermions. The Dirac operator is Hermitian, and the Banks - Casher relation can be used in order to check the appearance of the chiral condensate.

Acknowledgements

Useful discussions with G.E.Volovik and Yu.A.Simonov are kindly acknowledged that stimulated the author to consider the unusual scenario for the dynamical electroweak symmetry breaking. The author is especially indebted to D.I.Diakonov for the continuous support during the last two years and for the discussions of issues related to the fermions coupled to gravitational fields. Also the author is greatful to B.Svetitsky for the discussion of the lattice discretization. This work was partly supported by RFBR grant 11-02-01227, by the Federal Special-Purpose Programme 'Human Capital' of the Russian Ministry of Science and Education, by Federal Special-Purpose Programme 07.514.12.4028.

---

[1] ”Search for the standard model Higgs boson produced in association with W and Z bosons in pp collisions at s=7 TeV”, CMS Collaboration arXiv:1209.3937 ; CMS-HIG-12-010 ; CERN-PH-EP-2012-253.
”Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC”, CMS Collaboration, arXiv:1207.7235; CMS-HIG-12-028; CERN-PH-EP-2012-220.- Geneva : CERN, 2012 - 59 p. - Published in : Phys. Lett. B 716 (2012) 30-61
”A search for a doubly-charged Higgs boson in pp collisions at s = 7 TeV”, CMS Collaboration arXiv:1207.2666 ; CMS-HIG-12-005 ; CERN-PH-EP-2012-169. - 2012. - 39 p.

[2] ”Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC”, ATLAS Collaboration, Phys.Lett. B716 (2012) 1-29, CERN-PH-EP-2012-218, arXiv:1207.7214 [hep-ex]

[3] ”Observation of a new boson with a mass near 125 GeV”, The CMS Collaboration, CMS PAS HIG-12-020, available at CERN information server as http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1460438/files/HIG-12-020-pas.pdf

[4] http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1470512/files/ATL-PHYS-SLIDE-2012-459.pdf

[5] G. E. Volovik and M. A. Zubkov, arXiv:1302.2360, to appear in JETP letters; arXiv:1209.0204

[6] Christopher T. Hill, Elizabeth H. Simmons, Phys.Rept. 381 (2003) 235-402; Erratum-ibid. 390 (2004) 553-554

[7] Kenneth Lane, hep-ph/0202255

[8] R. Sekhar Chivukula, hep-ph/0011264

[9] Yu.A.Simonov, ”On dynamics of fermion generations”, arXiv:0912.1946, Phys.Atom.Nucl.73:1893-1907,2010
Yu.A.Simonov, ”Coherent mixing in three and four quark generations”, arXiv:1004.2672, Phys.Atom.Nucl.74:643-649,2011

Yu. A. Simonov, ”Spontaneous SU(2) symmetry violation in the $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times SU(4)$ electroweak model”, arXiv:1012.1171

[10] G.Cvetic, Rev. Mod. Phys. 71, 513 (1999), arXiv hep-ph/9702381

[11] Nakia Carlevaro, Orchidea Maria Lecian, Giovanni Montani, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 23, 1282-1285 (2008)

[12] Nakia Carlevaro, Orchidea Maria Lecian, Giovanni Montani, Mod.Phys.Lett.A24:415-427,2009

[13] William A. Bardeen, Christopher T. Hill, Manfred Lindner, ”Minimal Dynamical Symmetry Breaking of the Standard Model,” Phys. Rev. D **41**, 1647–1660 (1990).

[14] Elizabeth H. Simmons, R. Sekhar Chivukula, Baradhwaj Coleppa, Heather E. Logan, Adam Martin, ”Topcolor in the LHC Era”, arXiv:1112.3538

[15] L. Freidel, D. Minic and T. Takeuchi, Phys. Rev. D **72** (2005) 104002 [arXiv:hep-th/0507253].

[16] A. Randono, arXiv:hep-th/0510001.

[17] S. Mercuri, Phys. Rev. D **73** (2006) 084016 [arXiv:gr-qc/0601013].

[18] Sergei Alexandrov, Class.Quant.Grav.25:145012,2008

[19] She-Sheng Xue, Phys.Lett.B665:54-57,2008, arXiv:0804.4619

[20] S.Alexander, T.Biswas, G.Calcagni, Phys. Rev. D 81, 043511 (2010), arXiv:0906.5161

[21] S.Alexander, D.Vaid, ArXiv:hep-th/0609066

[22] M.A.Zubkov, arXiv:1003.5473, to appear in Mod.Phys.Lett. A

[23] A.S.Belyaev, I.L.Shapiro, Nucl.Phys. B543 (1999) 20-46, ArXiv:hep-ph/9806313

[24] Thomas Appelquist, Neil Christensen, Maurizio Piai, Robert Shrock, Phys.Rev. D70 (2004) 093010

[25] Adam Martin, Kenneth Lane, Phys.Rev. D71 (2005) 015011

[26] Thomas Appelquist, Maurizio Piai, Robert Shrock, Phys.Rev. D69 (2004) 015002

[27] Robert Shrock, hep-ph/0703050

[28] Adam Martin, Kenneth Lane, Phys.Rev. D71 (2005) 015011

[29] Thomas Appelquist, Anuradha Ratnaweera, John Terning, L. C. R. Wijewardhana, Phys.Rev. D58 (1998) 105017

[30] R. Foadi, M.T. Frandsen, T. A. Ryttov, F. Sannino, arXiv:0706.1696

[31] Sven Bjarke Gudnason, Chris Kouvaris, Francesco Sannino, Phys.Rev. D73 (2006) 115003

[32] D.D. Dietrich (NBI), F. Sannino (NBI), K. Tuominen, Phys.Rev. D72 (2005) 055001

[33] Alex Kagan, CCNY-HEP-91-12, Proc. of 15th Johns Hopkins Workshop on Current Problems in Particle Theory, Baltimore, MD, Aug 26-28, 1991, Johns Hopkins Wrkshp 1991:217-242 (QCD161:J55:1991)

[34] Bogdan A. Dobrescu, Nucl.Phys.B449:462-482,1995
D.Atwood, A.Kagan and T.G.Rizzo, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 6264 (1995) [arXiv:hep-ph/9407408].
A.L.Kagan, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 6196 (1995) [arXiv:hep-ph/9409215].
B.A. Dobrescu and E.H.Simmons, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 015014 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9807469].
B.A.Dobrescu and J.Terning, Phys. Lett. B **416**, 129 (1998) [arXiv:hep-ph/9709297].

[35] A. A. Vladimirov and D. Diakonov, “Phase transitions in spinor quantum gravity on a lattice”, arXiv:1208.1254 [hep-th] 10.1103/PhysRevD.86.104019, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 104019 (2012)
D. Diakonov, “Towards lattice-regularized Quantum Gravity”, arXiv:1109.0091 [hep-th]

[36] M.A.Zubkov, “Gauge invariant discretization of Poincare quantum gravity”, Phys. Lett. B **638**, 503 (2006), [Erratum-ibid. B **655**, 307 (2007)] [arXiv:hep-lat/0604011]

[37] J.Bijnens, C.Bruno, E. de Rafael, Nucl.Phys. B390 (1993) 501-541, hep-ph/920623

[38] D. Diakonov, A. G. Tumanov and A. A. Vladimirov, “Low-energy General Relativity with torsion: A Systematic derivative expansion”, arXiv:1104.2432 [hep-th], 10.1103/PhysRevD.84.124042, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 124042 (2011)

[39] Philip D. Mannheim, Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys. 56 (2006) 340-445
V.V. Zhytnikov, Int.J.Mod.Phys.A8:5141-5152,1993.

[40] E. Sezgin, P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Phys.Rev.D22:301,1980.

[41] E. Elizalde, S.D.Odintsov, Int.J.Mod.Phys.D2:51-58,1993

[42] J. Preskill, Nucl. Phys. B177, 21 (1981)

[43] M. E. Peskin, Nucl. Phys. B175, 197 (1980).